



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/580,526	05/30/2000	Uwe Ernst	192376USO	8289 22
22850	7590	08/25/2003		
OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			HENDRICKSON, STUART L	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
1754				

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	580526	Applicant(s)	Einst
Examiner	W. Wilkinson	Group Art/Unit	1754

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/16/03

This action is **FINAL**.

Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

Claim(s) 13-20, 44-56 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above claim(s) 13-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

Claim(s) 19, 44-50, 55, 56 is/are allowed.

Claim(s) 44-48, 51-51 is/are rejected.

Claim(s) is/are objected to.

Claim(s) 13-20, 44-52 are subject to restriction or election requirement

Application Papers

The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is approved disapproved.

The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner

The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)

Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d).

All Some* None of the:

Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received
in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))

*Certified copies not received: _____

Attachment(s)

Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____ Interview Summary, PTO-413

Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Other _____

Office Action Summary

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action. The elected silicate specie is allowed. The search has been extended to other species claimed ('an oxide filler') and a new rejection follows:

Claims 44-48, 51-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lightsey et al.

Lightsey teaches in column 6 and the examples treating silica with an agent to modify its surface and adding carbon black and a polymer. This differs in not requiring the claimed treating agent to be chosen, rather listing it among a host of choices.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the trialkylalkoxysilane specie (formula II, n=2, R1=alkyl) in the process of Lightsey because doing so performs the desired treatment. Choosing the claimed rubber is an obvious expedient to produce various articles.

Claims 13-20 are still not proper for rejoinder, as claim 44 is not allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson at telephone number (703) 308-2539.



Stuart Hendrickson
examiner Art Unit 1754